

## Conducted Electrical Weapon Repositing Form

yo be completed by any Vermont Law Englishmatic Officeration having by deployment of a Conducted Steep call Weapon (CEW).

SECTION ONE: Display and/or Deployment Information				
Case Number:	174000382	Location of Incident:	77 Buffalo St, Hardwick	
Date of Incident:	2/19/17	Time of Incident:	22:59	
CEW Model:	X26P	CEW Serial Number:	X 130051YK	
	CEW displayed		-	
Use of CEWS	Probes fired	Location where probes hit subject:		
: Ordek all that agaly 11.11		No. of cycles:		
	☐ Drive stun mode	Location where was CEW held against subject's body:		
Was a recording device running at the time of the incident? XYes \( \sum \) No				
If yes, was it a Body Cam □ Dashboard Cam □ other (describe):				
Was the subject: Human OR Animal (If animal, STOP here and submit form)				
Was subject charged with a crime? Yes No				
If yes, what charge(s):				
	SECTION			
1. Subject Gender:	1 1 1		e time of display or deployment:  American Indian or Alaska Native	
Female Male	approximate guess):		_	
Male	1 7		☐ Hispanic or Latino	
	// X White		Unknown	
4. Before deployment, did you have reason to believe the subject was a member of a special population? If yes, check all that apply. (If none apply, go to Question 6)				
Pregnant			umatic Brain Injury	
Elderly (Over the age of 55)			otional crisis to the extent subject	
- Child (Under the age of 16)		ma	y-have-had-difficulty-understanding	
Low body-mass index (Body type is Thin)  requests or orders			•	
Disability	(		lepsy/seizure disorder	
Mental health condition			art condition	
Developmental	/intellectual disability		af/hard of hearing	
		L Lov	v vísion/blind	
5. How did you obtain information leading to your belief that the subject was a member of a special				
population? Chec	ck all that apply:			
Subject notified officer Civilian witness				
☐ Professional witness ☐ Dispatch				
Personal perce	ption of the subject		_	
6. To the best of your knowledge, was the person under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of				
the incident?  Yes No Unknown				
7. Were mental health or developmental disabilities professionals contacted for assistance with the subject?				
No (If no, go to Section Three)				
Yes				
	~			

	If yes, contacted by:  Officer or  Someone Else (list whom):			
	Prior to the display or deployment			
C	During the display or deployment			
	☐After the display or deployment			
	Other comments:			
	8. What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability professionals? Check all that apply:			
	Professional did not result in any positive or helpful impact on the situation;			
	Professional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the situation;			
	Contact was attempted but no one could be reached;			
	Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene;			
	Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department;			
	Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision;			
	Intervention was ineffective.			
	Decision to use CEW was based on:  Subject was reported a			
	Decision to use CEW was based on:  Active aggression of subject;  Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur;  Catrol.			
	Active aggression of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur;			
	Anticipated injuries to subject, officer, or others at scene.			
	What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW?			
	Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW;			
a	Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force;			
× .	CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known:			
	Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply: No			
	OC Firearm Physical force Baton			
	☐other (describe): Was this additional use of force before or after use of the CEW? ☐ Before ☐ After			
	Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW? Yes No w/f)			
	If yes, by whom? Officer EMS personnel Other emergency or health care professionals			
	Check any box below relating to noteworthy details not already described:			
Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge;				
	Subject was near or in water at time of incident;			
	☐ Subject was wearing heavy clothes; ☐ Subject was more than 25 feet away when CFW probe shot;			
3.037	Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot.			
	Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80			
	training) useful in dealing with this incident? Yes UNO NO NA			
	If no, describe why not:			

Return this completed form via scan or email to: Executive Director Richard Gauthier Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council 317 Academy Road, Pittsford, VT 05763 Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343 Rlchard.Gauthier@state.vt.us

By punching Piangerelli in the face and causing pain and swelling to his face, I have probable cause to believe that N.B DOB 01/21/2000 has committed the offense of Domestic Assault in violation of Title 13, Vermont Statutes Annotated, Section 1042

Narrative Type
Report

*omcer* M204: Telicai

Narrative

On the above date and time Det Kewin Lehoe and I were on our way for a follow up at Stratton Rd, in Hardwick where an assault had taken place involving several people. While en route, we were re routed to an out of control teenager, later identified as K.C Dob: 10/09/99, who was carrying a knife outside his residence at 77 Buffalo St. Det. Kevin and I presumed K.C was apart of this assault case we were headed to. On arrival, we met with K.C's father who said he had the knife K.C had, he said K.C took off and was unsure where he went. We asked if he believed K.C was in the house and the father didn't know. Det. Lehoe and I went inside the house and I drew my taser because the father didn't know where the knife was, and if K.C was in the house his access to other knives had a high probability. Det. Lehoe and I cleared the rooms and never found K.C.

We left the residence and went back to where the assault took place on Stratton Rd. While there, we received word from dispatch that K.C was back at his residence and out of control again.

Upon arriving at 77 Buffalo St again, we met with K.C's father who advised us K.C was upstairs in his room. Upon entering the house, I drew my taser again because I was unsure if K.C had anymore knives. At the foot of the stairwell, K.C came out of his room and became compliant. He had turned around at the top of the stairs facing away from us. As we walked up the stairs, my taser laser was pointed at K.C's back up until Det. Lehoe gained control of K.C as he placed him into protective custody. Once a thorough pat down was done, K.C was taken out of protective custody.

Offense Suspect
Bagley,

Offense Victim
Piangerelli,

IBR Victim-Offender

Victim was Parent - PA

Nathannel M

Christophe

V. was LEO

V was LEO Assignment

Blas/Motivation (anti)

None - 88

Other ORI

LEOKA Narrative